

# Particles search CUPRUM METAL.

### MD Michel Van Wassenhoven



## **Mass Spectrometry SP-ICP-MS**

• Cuprum metallicum.



- SP-ICP-MS (metals): Single Particle Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass
  Spectrometry. in 20cc of 4CH dynamized water solution maximum
  0,02µg of cuprum would be expected and 0,2g of Lactose.
- Results in Cupr 4CH: In the solution, there is a huge background
  signal but these particles are far too small to be detected by
  single particle ICP-MS, the detection limit for copper particles is 45
  nm (52 nm for Cu2O). Later on we did the same using a concentrate
  after lyophilisation of 200cc of solution with a similar outcome.

# DLS – Zeta Potential Cuprum metallicum 4CH



## **DLS**: Dynamic Light Scattering

• Cuprum metallicum 4CH.



Results Lactose



#### Cuprum



#### Mean 1,29 nm

#### Mean 1,41 nm

## **DLS**: Dynamic Light Scattering

• Cuprum metallicum.



RESULTS conclusions: Similar size of small nano-particles in cuprum 4CH and lactose 4CH, between (0,5nm/2,5nm). The presence of the expected 0,02µg of coper in 20cc cuprum metallicum 4CH dynamization is not yet confirmed but possible (small mean size difference compared with lactose control). These nano particles are not detectable with DLS above 4CH. Greater heterogeneity of particles in lactose 4CH.

## **ZP : Zeta-Potential**

• Cuprum metallicum.



- Zeta potential is a method for the measurement of the electrostatic potential at the electrical double layer surrounding a nanoparticle in solution.
- Zeta potential Cuprum 4CH median value -35,6mV, lactose -42,9mV, Water -24mV, Cuprum 200K -39,3mV. Note also that the total counts is significant higher and valid for Cuprum 4CH



## **ZP : Zeta-Potential**

• Cuprum metallicum.



- In opposition to DLS, if the preparation is filtered (filter 0,1μ) this signal became unstable and irrelevant. This means that other detected larger particles (see further) play a role in stabilisation of this information.
- With zeta potential the mean difference between water control and other samples is significant and possible between Cuprum 4CH and lactose



# NTA Cuprum metallicum



## **NTA :** Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis

• Cuprum metallicum Nanosight:



- This technology is limited to particles above 20nm, we could see a significant amount of particles only in unfiltered samples.
- $\circ$  No particles in pure undynamised water control.













4.0



#### **Mean particules sizes in nanometers** (Cuprum metallicum and controls).





#### Particules sizes distribution (D90) in nanometers. (Cuprum metallicum)



## **NTA :** Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis

• Conclusions.



- The presence of particles even in highest dynamisation stay in a relatively stable concentration.
- The particles sizes evolution for potentised
  Cuprum metallicum can clearly be differentiated
  from the two control groups. The sizes and the
  dispersion of the particles sizes is growing only in CH
  potentized Cuprum.

# SEM/EDX Cuprum metallicum



## **SEM/EDX**

 Cuprum metallicum.
 SEM/EDX = Scanning Electron Microscopy with X-ray microanalysis.

Starting from 400cc (20 x 20cc 4CH samples), lyophilized (concentrated) we are able to identify these particles. 200cc of 200K and 30CH, contains also particles !



#### Quantities on obtained dry lyophilized material

	Uncertainty/g*	Stock /g	Lactose/g	Real dry material/g
Copper		999.990µg	0	
Cupr. met. 1C	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	10.000µg	990.000µg	
Cupr. met. 2C	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	+/-100µg	+/-999.900µg	
Cupr. met. 3C	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	+/-1µg	+/-999.999µg	
Cupr. met. 4C	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory +/-0,01µg	+/ <b>-9.999,99</b> µg	9.500,0 µg
Cupr. met. 30C	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory +/-10 <sup>-54</sup> µg	+/-10 <sup>-48</sup> µg	1,0 µg
Cupr. met. 200K	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory +/-10 <sup>-396</sup> µg	+/ <b>-</b> 10 <sup>-388</sup> µg	2,5 µg
Cupr. met. Diluted 10 <sup>-60</sup>	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory +/-10 <sup>-54</sup> µg	+/-10 <sup>-48</sup> µg	3,0 µg
Cupr. met. 30C PET	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory +/-10 <sup>-54</sup> µg	+/-10 <sup>-48</sup> μg	1,5 µg
Aqua pura 30C	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory o	0	<b>2,0 μg</b>
Arg. met. 30C	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory +/-10 <sup>-54</sup> µg	+/-10 <sup>-48</sup> µg	10,0 µg
Arg. met. 200K	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory +/-10 <sup>-396</sup> µg	+/-10 <sup>-388</sup> µg	7,0 µg
Arg. met. 10 <sup>-60</sup>	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory +/-10 <sup>-54</sup> $\mu$ g	+/-10 <sup>-48</sup> μg	20,0 µg
Silicea 30C	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory +/-10 <sup>-54</sup> µg	+/-10 <sup>-48</sup> µg	12,0 µg
Silicea 200K	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory +/-10 <sup>-396</sup> µg	+/-10 <sup>-388</sup> µg	8,0 µg
Silicea 10 <sup>-60</sup>	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory +/-10 <sup>-54</sup> $\mu$ g	+/-10 <sup>-48</sup> µg	19,0 µg
Kali.mur. 30C	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory +/-10 <sup>-54</sup> µg	0	17,0 µg
Gelsemium 30C	+/- 3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	In theory +/-10 <sup>-54</sup> µg	0	36,0 µg



Aqua 30CH 0000 2016/04/26 12:28 HM D8.0 x2.5k 30 μm SYSMEX-Hitachi TM3030PLUS



CUPR 30C 0000 2016/04/26 12:13 HM D8.0 x1.8k 50 μm Hitachi TM3030PLUS Qrum30C



CH4 V2 0000 2016/04/26 13:30 HM D7.9 x1.0k 100 μm SYSMEX-Hitachi TM3030PLUS



CUPR 200K 0000 2016/04/26 12:01 H M D8.1 x2.5k 30 µm Hitachi TM3030PLUS Qrum 200K



Cu30CH PET0001 2016/12/28 NL UD8.0 x2.0k 30 μm SYSMEX-Hitachi TM3030PLUS



AM30CH 0000 2016/12/28 HM D8.1 x2.0k 30 μm SYSMEX-Hitachi TM3030PLUS



CUPR 60 0000 2016/04/26 11:43 HM D8.0 x2.5k 30 µm Hitachi TM3030PLUS Qrum 10 -60



AM200K 0000 2016/12/28 HM D8.1 x2.0k 30 μm SYSMEX-Hitachi TM3030PLUS



Si30CH 0000 2016/12/28 HL D8.1 x2.0k 30 μm SYSMEX-Hitachi TM3030PLUS



SI10-60 0000 2016/12/28 HL D8.0 x2.0k 30 μm SYSMEX-Hitachi TM3030PLUS



Si200K 0000 2016/12/28 HL D8.1 x2.0k 30 μm SYSMEX-Hitachi TM3030PLUS



K30CH 0000 2016/12/28 H M D8.0 x2.0k 30 μm SYSMEX-Hitachi TM3030PLUS

## SEM





Conclusions: Clearly it is possible, using this methodology, to differentiate visually cuprum metallicum in several potentisations from controls or other remedies.

CH and K preparations generate specific images.

### EDX



• Cuprum metallicum.

#### EDX =

# Electron Microscopy with X-ray microanalysis is allowing the chemical analyze of the observed material.



# Identified chemistry in dilutions/potentizations (atom% \* atomic mass \* µg quantity) for the 5 most concentrated atoms in the different preparations.



There is a difference in chemistry between the different samples. The proportion of Carbon, Oxygen, Sodium are always high, Silicium and Calcium are also good discriminant factors. Cuprum 4C is almost pure sugar  $(C_{_{11}}H_{_{22}}O_{_{11}})$  and real values are about 9000 times higher than presented here. At this scale, the different dilutions/potentizations of copper are not easily discriminated from each other but it is easy to discriminate from other metals or salt or plant. For silver and silica the differences between dilutions/potentizations are clearly expressed.



# Identified chemistry in dilutions/potentizations (atom% \* atomic mass \* µg quantity) for 6 lower concentrated atoms in the different preparations.



Also for lower concentrated atoms, there is a difference in chemistry between the different samples and are good discriminant factors. At this scale, Cuprum dilutions/potentizations chemistry is not as easy to discriminate between each other for these atoms but easy to discriminate from other preparations.



Identified chemistry in dilutions/potentizations (atom% \* atomic mass \*  $\mu$ g quantity) for 5 most concentrated atoms in the copper preparations and water control (comparable scale).



There is a difference in chemistry between the different samples. The proportion of Carbon, Oxygen, Sodium are always high, even if Silicium and Calcium are not as high concentrated, they are all good discriminant factors. Cuprum 4C is almost pure sugar  $(C_{11}H_{22}O_{11})$  and real values are about 9000 times higher and therefore not presented here.



Identified chemistry in dilutions/potentizations (atom% \* atomic mass \* µg quantity) for 6 lower concentrated atoms in the preparations expressed at the low comparable scale.



Also for lower concentrated atoms, there is a difference in chemistry between the different samples and are good discriminant factors. At this scale, Cuprum dilutions/potentizations chemistry is not as easy to discriminate between each other for these atoms but easy to discriminate from other preparations.



#### Possible modelisation of these particles (100 smaller than in reality)

C112 H164 Ca24 Na 128 O352 Si64: Lmin 1,4nm; Lmax 1,4nm; Thickness 0,6nm. **Yellow = Na**; Red = O; Magenta = Si; Blue = C; **Grey = Ca**; White = H.



## Conclusions SEM/EDX (1)

- For Cuprum 4C the expected quantity of dry material was almost completely collected. In the highest dilutions/potentizations theoretically unforeseen dry material was collected.
- There are indeed big differences in the amount of collected material depending on the performed dilution/potentization process but also according to the different soluble or insoluble stocks used. In the soluble plant extract (Gelsemium) there is the biggest quantity of material (36 times more than in copper for the same potentization 30C).
- Compared to other metals, copper is the stock that gives the smallest amount of residual dry material.

## Conclusions SEM/EDX (2)

- The presence of this material demonstrate that the used step by step process (dynamized or not) is not a simple dilution process. For all stocks, after a simple dilution, there are always significant larger quantities of dry material collected in comparison with the potentized samples.
- The lyophilized dry material obtained observed by SEM/EDS, allowing a detailed view of the nature of the obtained lyophilized dry material, produce remarkable images. If we compare the nature of the material, it is possible to discriminate the shapes not only between a metal, a salt and a plant but also between different metals and between different dilutions/potentizations process

## Conclusions SEM/EDX (3)

- The chemistry of the materials, determined by EDS, shows that this material is not composed of all original molecular compounds of the MT. We did not find copper or silver in the samples; nevertheless, there is a specific composition for each of the samples, stocks and/or dilution/dynamizations.
- The proportion of the different atoms results in a specific chemical profile. Because of the absence of any particles in the used deionized pure water (NTA), the presence of these atoms can only be justified by an interaction between the original stock, the used glass containers and the deionized water.

## Conclusions SEM/EDX (4)

- The specificities between different samples force us to also recognize an impact of the original stock all along the dilution or potentization process. A simple dilution is not a potentization and a difference exists between the C, K potentization processes and controls.
- When using PET containers for the potentization of Aqua pura 30K no significant particles can be observed but in the potentized Cuprum metallicum 30K in PET container, specific particles are observed. This fact confirms the role of the stock during the potentization process. The percentage of silica is the highest in the Silicium 30C.



 $\checkmark$  Nano particles search

✓ Solvent (water) behaviour

✓ Electrons behaviour



- $_{\circ}\,$  NMR: Prof. Luce Vander Elst.
- Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. Calculation
  of Relaxation Times 1, 2, for the full range of
  dynamization up to 30CH.
- Aim: Collection of all values
  for Cuprum and Gelsemium.



- **o** NMR Relaxation times are correlated to the water behaviour.
- Aim: statistical discriminant analyse of NMR signals from different homeopathic remedies and different dynamizations versus 3 controls : pure water, dynamized lactose (for triturated stocks), dynamized water and simply diluted stocks.









#### Daily calibration of measurement tool.











After these measurements a question arise : **« Are these values specific and as such allowing to discriminate the medicines between each other or are they aleatory values?** ». To answer this question, statistical analyses are needed. During the session after the break the response to this question will be given.



 $\checkmark$  Nano particles search

✓ Solvent (water) behaviour

✓ Electrons behaviour

## EPA

- 。 Electro Photonic Analyse of any "material"
- Aim: precise discrimination of each remedy but also of the dynamizations of a same stock. Allowing to test the different methods and number of dynamizations; top,

midden, bottom pipetting.

- Very sensitive and specific analyse.
- $_{\circ}~$  One drop or one pilule is enough!









## EPA

- April 2016: 607 images : 3 for 175 liquid samples and 1 for 82 impregnated pilules (size 6) samples including several controls.
- Liquids one drop of 15µl suspended at the top of the pipette tip and in contact with the electrode (10000 Volts, 400 Hz). When electric stimulation stop the emitted light is photographed.
- For globules the electrode is in contact at the top of the pilule (11000 Volts, 120 Hz).



UNIVERSITÉ DE STRASBOURG





## EPA Cuprum 4CH



UNIVERSITÉ DE **STRASBOURG** 





## EPA



After these measurements here also a question arise : « Are these images and mathematic analyse of them, specific and as such allowing to discriminate the medicines and potentizations between each other or are they hazardous? ». To answer this question, appropriate mathematical analyses are needed. During the session after the break a response to this question will be given.